

## **Latest results from the SEMATECH Berkeley extreme ultraviolet microfield exposure tool**

Patrick P. Naulleau,<sup>1</sup> Christopher N. Anderson,<sup>2</sup> Jerrin Chiu,<sup>1</sup> Kim Dean,<sup>3</sup> Paul Denham,<sup>1</sup>  
Kenneth A. Goldberg,<sup>1</sup> Brian Hoef,<sup>1</sup> Gideon Jones,<sup>1</sup> Bruno La Fontaine,<sup>4</sup>  
Dimitra Niakoula,<sup>1</sup> and Tom Wallow<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for X-Ray Optics, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720

<sup>2</sup>Applied Science & Technology Graduate Group, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

<sup>3</sup>SEMATECH, Austin, TX 78741

<sup>4</sup>Advanced Micro Devices, Sunnyvale, CA 94088

Microfield exposure tools (METs) continue to play a dominant role in the development of extreme ultraviolet (EUV) resists. One of these tools is the SEMATECH Berkeley 0.3-NA MET operating as a SEMATECH resist and mask test center. Here we present an update on the tool summarizing some of the latest test and characterization results. We provide an update on the long-term aberration stability of the tool, present line-space imaging in chemically amplified photoresist down to the 20-nm half pitch level as well as contact hole printing results with resolution down to 30 nm. Although resist development has progressed relatively well in the area of resolution, line-edge-roughness (LER) remains a significant concern. Here we present a summary of recent LER performance results and consider the effect of system-level contributors to the LER observed from the SEMATECH Berkeley microfield tool. In particular, we discuss the contribution from both mask roughness and projection optics flare. The role illumination coherence (pupil fill) plays in these effects is also discussed.

This work was performed at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and supported by SEMATECH.

**Keywords:** extreme ultraviolet, lithography, photoresist, resolution